In the Matter of the Petition

of

ANDREW and ANNE M. ROSEN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income Taxes under Article(s) of the 22 Tax Law for the Year(s)xoxxexiod(s) 1968; 1969 and 1970.

State of New York County of Albany

Donna Scranton , being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of age, and that on the 10th day of November , 1976, she served the within by (certified) mail upon Andrew and Anne M. Notice of Decision

Rosen xrepresentative xof) the petitioner in the within proceeding, by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed

as follows: Mr. and Mrs. Andrew Rosen

3020 Marcos Drive (S614)

North Miami Beach, Florida 33160

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the frepresentative pfxthe) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the frepresentative of the petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

10th day of November

, 19<sub>76</sub>.

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ANDREW and ANNE M. ROSEN

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

For a Redetermination of a Deficiency or a Revision of a Determination or a Refund of Personal Income

Taxes under Article(s) 22 of the Tax Law for the Year(s) or Personal 1968,: 1969 and 1970.

State of New York County of Albany

Donna Scranton , being duly sworn, deposes and says that
she is an employee of the Department of Taxation and Finance, over 18 years of
age, and that on the 10th day of November , 1976, she served the within
Notice of Decision by (certified) mail upon Irving Kushel,
Accountant (representative of) the petitioner in the within proceeding,
by enclosing a true copy thereof in a securely sealed postpaid wrapper addressed
as follows: Irving Kushel
38 Atlas Avenue
Malverne, New York 11565

and by depositing same enclosed in a postpaid properly addressed wrapper in a (post office or official depository) under the exclusive care and custody of the United States Postal Service within the State of New York.

That deponent further says that the said addressee is the (representative of the) petitioner herein and that the address set forth on said wrapper is the last known address of the (representative of the) petitioner.

Sworn to before me this

10th day of November

, 19<sub>76</sub>

Donne Deranton

TA-3 (2/76)



# STATE OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION AND FINANCE

#### TAX APPEALS BUREAU

STATE CAMPUS ALBANY, N.Y. 12227 ADDRESS YOUR REPLY TO

November 10, 1976

TELEPHONE: (518) 457-3850

Mr. and Mrs. Andrew Rosen 3020 Marcos Drive (S614) North Miami Beach, Florida 33160

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Rosen:

Please take notice of the DECISION of the State Tax Commission enclosed herewith.

Please take further notice that pursuant to Section(%) 690 of the Tax Law, any proceeding in court to review an adverse decision must be commenced within 4 months from the date of this notice.

Inquiries concerning the computation of tax due or refund allowed in accordance with this decision or concerning any other matter relative hereto may be addressed to the undersigned. They will be referred to the proper party for reply.

Very truly yours,

FRANK JY PUCCIA SUPERVISOR OF SMALL CLAIMS HEARINGS

Enc.

cc: Petitioner's Representative:

Taxing Bureau's Representative:

# STATE OF NEW YORK

STATE TAX COMMISSION

In the Matter of the Petition

of

ANDREW and ANNE M. ROSEN

DECISION

for Redetermination of a Deficiency or for Refund of Personal Income Tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the Years 1968, 1969 and 1970.

Petitioners, Andrew and Anne M. Rosen, residing at 3020 Marcos Drive (S614), North Miami Beach, Florida 33160, have filed a petition for redetermination of a deficiency or for refund of personal income tax under Article 22 of the Tax Law for the years 1968, 1969 and 1970. (File No. 4-63279802).

A small claims hearing was held on August 17, 1976 at 2:45 P.M. at the offices of the State Tax Commission, Two World Trade Center, New York, New York, before Harry Huebsch, Hearing Officer. The petitioners appeared by Irving Kushel, Accountant. The Income Tax Bureau appeared by Peter Crotty, Esq., (William Levy, Esq., of counsel).

#### ISSUE

Were petitioners, Andrew and Anne M. Rosen, entitled to a refund of New York State personal income taxes paid on dividend income erroneously included in their nonresident returns for

the years 1968, 1969 and 1970 when their claims for refund were filed more than three years from the time the tax returns were filed and more than two years from the time the tax was paid.

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Petitioners, Andrew and Anne M. Rosen, filed combined
  New York State nonresident income tax returns for the years
  1968, 1969 and 1970. The only New York income shown on the returns
  was dividend income. On or about March 31, 1975, petitioners,
  Andrew and Anne M. Rosen, filed claims for refunds for these years.
  The refunds claimed for 1968, 1969 and 1970 were in the amounts of
  \$496.00, \$424.00 and \$355.00 respectively. The Income Tax Bureau
  denied the refund claims upon the grounds that the claims were
  filed more than three years from the time the tax returns were filed
  and more than two years after the time the tax was paid.
- 2. On the New York State nonresident tax returns filed for 1968, 1969 and 1970, petitioners, Andrew and Anne M. Rosen, reported dividend and interest income as their only source of income. In the Federal column of Schedule A page two, they entered both dividend and interest income. They entered the dividend income in the New York column of Schedule A but not the interest income. There was no indication on the return as to the source of the dividend income.

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

A. That there was no indication on the tax returns filed for 1968, 1969 and 1970 that the dividend income was not taxable in accordance with section 632(b)(2) of the Tax Law.

- B. That the claims for refunds for 1968, 1969 and 1970 were not filed within three years from the date the returns were filed or two years from the time that the tax was paid and, therefore, no refund or credit may be issued in accordance with section 687 of the Tax Law.
- C. That there was a question of fact or law involved and, therefore, no refund or credit may be issued under provisions of the special refund authority of section 697(d).
- D. That the petition of Andrew and Anne M. Rosen for refund of taxes paid for the years 1968, 1969 and 1970 is denied and the Notice of Refund Denial is sustained.

DATED: Albany, New York
November 10, 1976

STATE TAX COMMISSION

PRESTDENT

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER